

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/070,236	02/28/2002		Yehouda Harpaz		1480	
7590 12/27/2004		•	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Yehouda Harp			ALAM, SHAHID AL			
	BI 3QQ	)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
UNITED KINGDOM				2162		
				DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
Office Ass	iian Communicati	10/070,236	HARPAZ, YEHOUDA					
Office Act	ion Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	·				
		Shahid Al Alam	2162					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the carrespondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to d	communication(s) filed on	_•						
2a) ☐ This action is FI	NAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3) ☐ Since this application	cation is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accord	dance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/a	4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
11) I he oath or deci	aration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's F	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		)-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/070,236 Page 2

Art Unit: 2162

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-5 are pending in this Office action.

## **Priority**

2. Applicant is advised of possible benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), wherein an application for patent filed in the United States may be entitled to the benefit of the filing date of a prior application filed in a foreign country. A claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) cannot be based on said application, since the United States application was filed more than twelve months thereafter.

#### Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

4. **The disclosure is objected to** because of the following informalities:

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

# Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in

Application/Control Number: 10/070,236 Page 3

Art Unit: 2162

upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).

Application/Control Number: 10/070,236

Art Unit: 2162

(j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Page 4

(k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The spacing of the lines of the specification with double spaced on good quality paper are required.

5. **The title of the invention is not descriptive**. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

### Claim Objections

6. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 contains too many "and". The Applicant is requested to review and rewrite the claim language so that it is more clearer.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 – 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 5 recite the limitation "A constrained document server" in claim and Claims 3 and 4 recite the limitation "A server" in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Applicant failed to describe what is meant by "hereinbefore". Is it all of the preceding claims or part of the preceding claims. Hence, it is unclear the meaning of "hereinbefore".

It is unclear to examiner what the Applicant has contributed to the art. The examples appear to be generic in nature and it is appear to be describing well known methods (i.e. the syntax of queries such as to allow the client to specify in which segment of the document to find the word-group, allow the client to specify the length of continuous text, allow each word to be a phrase, allow OR relations and allow wild-cards).

Application/Control Number: 10/070,236 Page 6

Art Unit: 2162

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

#### 8. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1 – 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

### MPEP 2106 IV.B.2.(b)

A claim that requires one or more acts to be performed defines a process. However, not all processes are statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. Schrader, 22 F.3d at 296, 30 USPQ2d at 1460. To be statutory, a claimed computer-related process must either: (A) result in a physical transformation outside the computer for which a practical application in the technological arts is either disclosed in the specification or would have been known to a skilled artisan, or (B) be limited to a practical application within the technological arts.

#### MPEP 2106.II.A

A process that consists solely of the manipulation of an abstract idea is not concrete or tangible. See In re Warmerdam, 33 F.3d 1354, 1360, 31 USPQ2d 1754, 1759 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Claims 1 – 5, in view of the above cited MPEP sections, are not statutory because they merely recite a number of computing steps without producing any tangible result and/or being limited to a practical application within the technological arts. The use of a computer has not been indicated.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 – 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Malamateniou et al., "A search engine for virtual patient records".

With respect to claim 1, a constrained document server, comprising:

a database of documents and of constraints associated with each document; and software comprising two components: one component which receives queries from clients via some interface (page 111, column 2, lines 32 – 44);

each query searches the documents and identifies potentially matching documents (page 112, column 1, lines 3-8); and

a second component which extracts from the documents that were identified by the first component pieces of texts which match the query (page 111, column 1, lines 16 – 22), and also checks that the amount of text in the extracts from each document does not exceed the constraints which are associated with this document (page 108, column 1, line 20 – column 2, line 26).

As to claim 2, the constraints are specified by constraint expressions, each expression constructed by logical conjunction of primitive constraints, and each primitive constraint specifies the maximum amount of text that the client is allowed to

receive from each segment in a specific block in the document (page 108, column 1, line 20 – column 2, line 26).

The subject matter of claims 3, 4 and 5 are rejected in the analysis above in claim 1 and therefore, these claims are rejected on that basis.

#### **Contact Information**

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahid Al Alam whose telephone number is (571) 272-4030. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00 A.M.- 4:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shahid Al Alam Primary Examiner Art Unit 2162